

Lecture 10:

(24)

Last time: K/F field extension, $\alpha \in K$ is algebraic over F if $\exists f(x) \in F[x]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$.

K/F is algebraic if every elt of K is alg. over F .

Thm: $[K:F] < \infty \Rightarrow K/F$ is algebraic,

Thm: $\alpha, \beta \in K$ algebraic, then $F(\alpha, \beta)/F$ is alg.

Cor: $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha \text{ alg over } \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a field.



Thm: $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$ fields. Then $[L:F] = [L:K][K:F]$

Pf: If $[L:F] < \infty$, so is $[L:K]$ and $[K:F]$.

So assume $[L:K]$ and $[K:F]$ are finite.

$L \supset \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ a K -basis for L .

|
 $K \supset \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ a F -basis for K .

|
 F Then $\{\delta_{ij} = \alpha_i \beta_j\}$ are $n \cdot m$ elts which F -span L .

Claim: $\{\chi_{ij}\}$ are linearly indep, and so form a basis.
Hence $[L:K] = nm$, as needed.

Suppose $a_{ij} \in F$ with $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \chi_{ij} = 0$

Then $\sum_j (\sum_i a_{ij} \alpha_i) \beta_j = 0$ and so as the β_j are K -linearly indep,
 \uparrow in K

must have each $\sum_i a_{ij} \alpha_i = 0$. As α_i are F -lin
indep, get all $a_{ij} = 0$. \uparrow in F So $\{\chi_{ij}\}$ are F -
lin. indep. ▣

Finite Extension: K/F with $[K:F] < \infty$.

Cor: $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$. If L/K and K/F are finite
so is L/F .

Thm $F \subseteq K \subseteq L$. If L/K and K/F are algebraic,
so is L/F .

Ex: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2})$

Reason 1: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2})$ contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$

and $\sqrt{2}/\sqrt[3]{2} = 2^{1/2} \cdot 2^{-1/3} = 2^{1/6} = \sqrt[6]{2}$.

Reason 2: Any field containing $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$

must have $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ divisible by 2+3

$\Rightarrow [K:\mathbb{Q}] \geq 6$. As $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}):\mathbb{Q}] = 6$,

it must be the compositum.

Thm: $F \subseteq K_1, K_2 \subseteq L$, with $[K_i:F] < \infty$

$$[K_1 K_2:F] \leq [K_1:F][K_2:F]$$

Note: Clear if both K_i/F are simple.

Pf: Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be an F -basis for K_1 , with $\alpha_1 = 1$
 $\{\beta_j\}$ be an F -basis for K_2 , with $\beta_1 = 1$

Claim: $K_1 K_2 = \left\{ \sum a_{ij} \alpha_i \beta_j \mid a_{ij} \in F \right\} = K$

Clearly $K_i \subseteq K \subseteq K_1 K_2$ so the issue is whether K is a subfield.

It's closed under +, and also x since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha_i \beta_j)(\alpha_k \beta_l) &= (\alpha_i \alpha_k)(\beta_j \beta_l) = \\
 &= (\sum a_i \alpha_i)(\sum b_j \beta_j) = \sum_{i \in F} a_i b_j \alpha_i \beta_j
 \end{aligned}$$

What about mult. inverses?

Fix $\gamma \in K$. Consider $T: K \rightarrow K$, which is an F -linear transformation. As L is an int domain $\ker(T) = \{0\} \Rightarrow T$ is onto as $[K:F] < \infty$.

In particular, $\exists \delta \in K$ with $T(\delta) = 1$, i.e.

$\delta \gamma = 1 \Rightarrow \gamma^{-1} = \delta \in K$. So K is a subfield and hence $= K_1 K_2$

