

Lecture 6: Factorization of ideals

(11)

Last time:

Dedekind domain: int domain which is

→ Noetherian, integrally closed, and every prime ideal is maximal.

Goal Thm: \mathcal{O} a Dedekind domain. Every ideal

$\mathcal{O}I = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_n$ where β_i are prime, unique up to order.

[discuss in context of divisibility] ←

Not obvious as don't know

$\mathcal{O}I$ not prime $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}I = \mathcal{O}C$

$\mathcal{O} \mid \mathcal{O}I \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}I = \mathcal{O}C$

$\mathcal{O}I$ may not be a prod of primes but at least divides one

Lemma $\mathcal{O}I \neq 0$ an ideal of \mathcal{O} . Then

$\mathcal{O}I \cong \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n$ where β_i are prime.

Pf: $\mathcal{M} = \{\text{ideals not sat this}\}$. Let $\mathcal{O}I \in \mathcal{M}$ be maximal. As $\mathcal{O}I$ isn't prime, there are $b_1, b_2 \notin \mathcal{O}I$ with $b_1 b_2 \in \mathcal{O}I$. Idea: if $\mathcal{O}I = (b_1, b_2)$ then $\mathcal{O}I = (b_1)(b_2) \dots$

Let $\mathcal{O}I_i = (b_i) + \mathcal{O}I$, which is not in \mathcal{M} as $\mathcal{O}I_i \supseteq \mathcal{O}I$.

But then

$\mathcal{O}I \supseteq \mathcal{O}I_1 \mathcal{O}I_2 \supseteq (\text{prod of primes}) (\text{prod of primes}) = (\text{prod of primes})$,

contradicting that $\mathcal{O}I \in \mathcal{M}$. ▣

Lemma: \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of \mathcal{O} . Set

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \{k \in K \mid k\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}$$

closed under +,
mult by elts of \mathcal{O} .
"Fractional ideal"

Then $\sigma \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \neq \sigma \mathcal{O}$ for all ideals
 $\sigma \mathcal{O} \neq 0$.

Ex: $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 2 \rangle$,

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

[means $\{ \sum a_i p_i \mid a_i \in \sigma \mathcal{O}, p_i \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \}$] $(6) \mathfrak{p}^{-1} = (3) \neq (6)$

Motivation: If $\mathfrak{p} \mid \sigma \mathcal{O}$, then $\sigma \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O} , strictly containing $\sigma \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow$ progress.

Proof: Claim: $\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \neq \mathcal{O}$.

Pick $a \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{p} . Find primes \mathfrak{p}_i so that

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (a) \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n \text{ where } n \text{ minimal.}$$

Now $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i as if not take $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i \setminus \mathfrak{p}$

and observe $a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathfrak{p}$. As prime ideals are maximal,

must have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Say $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1$. Pick $b \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n \setminus (a)$,

so $\frac{b}{a} \notin \mathcal{O}$. But $\frac{b}{a} \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ as $\frac{b}{a} \mathfrak{p} = \frac{1}{a} b \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \frac{1}{a} (a) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

This proves the claim.

Let $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ be an ideal of \mathcal{O} , with generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Assume $\mathfrak{a}\beta^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}$.

Let $k \in \beta^{-1}$. Will show $k \in \mathcal{O}$. Consider $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K^n$. As $k\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ for all i , there is $A \in M_n(\mathcal{O})$ such that $k\vec{\alpha} = A\vec{\alpha}$.

Thus k is a root of $\text{char}(A)$ a monic poly in $\mathcal{O}[x]$. As \mathcal{O} is integrally closed, $k \in \mathcal{O}$. But then $\beta^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$, contradicting the claim. ▣

Cor: β a prime ideal. Then $\beta\beta^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$.

Pf: By lemma, we have $\beta \not\subseteq \beta\beta^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. As prime ideals are maximal, ideal must have $\beta\beta^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$.

Proof of Theorem: Existence: Let \mathfrak{a} be a max elt of

$$M = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ideals not } (0) \text{ or } (1) \\ \text{lacking prime decomp.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Pick a max ideal $\beta \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Now

$$\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}\beta^{-1} \not\subseteq \beta\beta^{-1} = \mathcal{O} \quad \begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{a} & \beta \\ \parallel & \parallel \\ \mathfrak{a}\beta^{-1} & \beta\beta^{-1} \end{array}$$

by Lemma ↖ as otherwise $\mathfrak{a}\beta^{-1} = \beta\beta^{-1}$

So $\sigma\beta^{-1}$ is a proper ideal of \mathcal{O} , not in $\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow$
has a prime factorization. But then

$\sigma = (\sigma\beta^{-1})\beta$ has one as well, a contradiction. \square

Uniqueness: on HW.